EPIRHEXIS COPE, 1866 (AMPHIBIA: SALIENTIA): REQUEST FOR SUPPRESSION UNDER THE PLENARY POWERS. Z.N.(S.) 1824

By John D. Lynch (Museum of Natural History, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, 66044, U.S.A.)

Baird (1859) named Batrachyla longipes on the basis of a single specimen (now lost) collected 40 leagues north of Mexico City. Cope (1866) diagnosed a new genus, Epirhexis, with Batrachyla longipes as the type-species. Boulenger (1882) placed B. longipes in the status of species inquirenda in his catalogue and was followed by Nieden (1923). Barbour (1923) and Kellogg (1932) placed the species in the genus Eleutherodactylus; they based their conclusions on a misidentified specimen (Taylor, 1940 a). Lynch (1963) in pointing out certain errors in the figures of Batrachyla longipes, concluded that little, if any, faith could be placed in the accuracy of the original figures (the figures serve as the sole indication) and that the name should be regarded as a nomen dublum.

In the course of reviewing Syrrhophus, geographic variation in S. latodactylus was observed. This variation had been earlier noted in the original description by Taylor (1940 b). The southern populations are unlike other Syrrhophus in having dorsal patterns of large blotches, whereas other species of the genus have flecked or punctate patterns (Firschein, 1954). Batrachyla longipes and Syrrhophus latodactylus (from the southern part of its range) share the following characteristics: the dorsal colour pattern consists of large blotches or spots; vomerine teeth are present; and the digital tips are expanded. The type-locality of Batrachyla longipes, "40 leagues N Mexico," probably is in northwestern Hidalgo, Mexico, near localities where Syrrhophus latodactylus is found.

Syrrhophus, as defined by Firschein (1954) would not accommodate Batrachyla longipes, and therefore, Lynch (1963) suggested that B. longipes be regarded as a nomen dubium. Lynch (1967) redefined the ill-defined genus Syrrhophus and, as presently defined, Syrrhophus would include Batrachyla longipes. No evidence is available to suggest that Syrrhophus latodactylus and Batrachyla longipes are not conspecific, whereas considerable evidence suggests that they are conspecific. The argument of Lynch (1963) that B. longipes be regarded as a nomen dubium is therefore rejected.

Inclusion of Batrachyla longipes in the generic group called Syrrhophus oses a problem in that Epirhexis Cope, 1866 (type-species by original designation Batrachyla longipes Baird), has priority over, and must replace, Syrrhophus Cope, 1878 (type-species by monotypy Syrrhophus marnockii Cope, 1878). Epirhexis received comment (or mention) as a senior name only four times in the literature (Cope, 1866, 1875, 1887, 1889) and has been universally regarded as a generic synonym of Eleutherodactylus since 1923. Syrrhophus has received considerable comment during the last 70 years and is well entenched in the zoological literature, inasmuch as it was used in such compilations and monographic studies as those of Gorham (1966), Gunther (1900)

Kellogg (1932), Nieden (1923), Noble (1931), and Smith and Taylor (1948). Serious confusion and instability would be generated by the replacing of the

well-established name Syrrhophus with the little known Epirhexis.

Syrrhophus latodactylus is an uncommon species of frog occurring on the Caribbean slopes of eastern Mexico; because of its rarity it has received little attention in the literature. The name Batrachyla longipes, has received equal, if not more, comment than Syrrhophus latodactylus but under the name Eleutherodactylus longipes. The geographic variation within the species is such that in the future other workers may wish to apply subspecific names to the northern and southern populations. The type-locality of Syrrhophus latodactylus is near the northern limits of the species range and that of Batrachyla longipes near the southern limit. Little confusion would result from use of the combination Syrrhophus longipes as the name of the species currently known as S. latodactylus and, if, in the future, subspecific names were to be applied, names would be available for each end of the cline.

Three alternatives are available:

(A) application of the Law of Priority, replacing Syrrhophus Cope, 1878, with Epirhexis Cope, 1866, and replacing Syrrhophus latodactylus Taylor, 1940, with Batrachyla longipes Baird, 1859; or

(B) suppression of the generic name Epirhexis Cope, 1866, and the specific name longipes, used in the combination Batrachyla longipes by Baird (1859), thus preserving Syrrhophus Cope, 1878, and S. latodactylus

Taylor, 1940; or

(C) suppression of the generic name Epirhexis Cope, 1866, while preserving the specific name longipes, used in the combination Batrachyla longipes by Baird (1859) thus preserving Syrrhophus Cope, 1878, and eliminating the need (should such arise) of providing a new name for the southern population.

Alternative (A) would promote a situation of instability as argued above. The second and third alternatives both have merit in preserving Syrrhophus Cope, 1878, and differ only in preserving (or not) the specific name longipes, as used in the combination Batrachyla longipes. Batrachyla longipes was the first name applied to any of the dozen known species of Syrrhophus and its preservation would thus not upset any present classification other than the name for the species now called S. latodactylus. As pointed out above, the possibility exists for recognizing two subspecies in this species (although this author does not feel such would be of value). Retention of the specific name longipes would eliminate the need of proposing a new name for the southern population (should such need arise).

Accordingly, I now request the Commission:

- to use its plenary powers to suppress the generic name Epirhexis Cope, 1866 (type-species by original designation Batrachyla longipes Baird, 1859) for the purposes of the Law of Priority but not for those of the Law of Homonymy;
- (2) to place the generic name suppressed under the plenary powers in (1) on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology;

- (3) to place the generic name *Syrrhophus* Cope, 1878 (gender: masculine), type-species, by monotypy, *Syrrhophus marnockii* Cope, 1878, on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology;
- (4) to place the following specific names on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology:
 - (a) marnockii Cope, 1878, as published in the binomen Syrrhophus marnockii (type-species of Syrrhophus Cope, 1878);
 - (b) longipes Baird, 1859, as published in the binomen Batrachyla longipes.

REFERENCES

- BAIRD, SPENCER F. 1859. Reptiles of the Boundary. United States and Mexican
- Boundary Survey. pp. 1–35, pl. 1–41

 BARBOUR, THOMAS. 1923. The reappearance of Batrachyla langipes. Proc. New England Zaological Club. 8: 81–83
- BOULENGER, G. A. 1882. Catalogue of the Batrachia Salientia s. Ecaudata in the callection of the British Museum, 2nd Ed., pp. xvi + 503, pl. 1-30
- COPE, E. D. 1866. On the structures and distribution of the genera of the arciferous Anura. *Journ. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia*, (2) 6: 67-122, pl. 25
- 1875. Checklist of North American Batrachia and Reptilia with a systematic list of the higher groups, and an essay on geographical distribution based on the specimens contained in the U.S. National Museum. Bull. U.S. Natl. Mus., 1:104
- —— 1878. A new genus of Cystignathidae from Texas. American Naturalist,
- 1887. Catalogue of Batrachia and Reptilia of Central America and Mexico.

 Bull. U.S. Natl. Mus., 32: 1-98
- —— 1889. The Batrachia of North America. Bull. U.S. Natl. Mus., 34: 1-545, pls. 1-86
- FIRSCHEIN, I. LESTER. 1954. Definition of some little-understood members of the leptodactylid genus *Syrrhopus*, with a description of a new species. *Copeia*, 1.48_58
- GORHAM, STANLEY W. 1966. Liste der rezenten Amphibien und Reptilien Ascaphidae, Leipoelmatidae, Pipidae, Discoglossidae, Pelobatidae, Leptodactylidae, Rhinophrynidae. Das Tiergeich, Lief 85 np. xvi. 222
- Rhinophrynidae. Das Tierreich, Lief. 85, pp. xvi + 222
 GUNTHER, ALBERT C. L. G. 1885-1902. Biologia Centrali-Americana. Reptilia and Batrachia. 1885-1902, pp. xx + 326, pls. 1-76 (section on Syrrhophus dated 1900)
- KELLOGG, REMINGTON. 1932. Mexican tailless amphibians in the United States National Museum. *Bull. U.S. Natl. Mus.*, **160**: pp. iv + 224, pl. 1 LYNCH, JOHN D. 1963. The status of *Eleutherodactylus longipes* (Baird) of Mexico
- LYNCH, JOHN D. 1963. The status of Eleutherodactylus longipes (Baird) of Mexico (Amphibia: Leptodactylidae). Copeia, 3: 580-81
- 1967. The genera of leptodactylid frogs in Mexico. Univ. Kansas Publ., Mus. Nat. Hist., (in press)
- NIEDEN, F. 1923. Anura I. Subordo Aglossa und Phaneroglossa, Sectio 1, Arcifera. Das Tierreich, Lief. 46, pp. xxxii + 584
- Noble, G. K. 1931. The biology of the Amphibia. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- SMITH, HOBART M., and TAYLOR, EDWARD H. 1948. An annotated checklist and key to the Amphibia of Mexico. Bull. U.S. Natl. Mus., 194: pp. iv + 118
- Taylor, Edward H. 1940a. A new eleutherodactylid frog from Mexico. Proc. New England Zoological Club, 18: 13-16, pls. 1-2
- 1940b. New species of Mexican Anura. Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull., 26: 385-405